

| | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/552,463 | ISHII ET AL. |
| | Examiner L. E. Crane | Art Unit 1623 |

All Participants:

(1) L. E. Crane.

Status of Application: Response to FAOM filed

(3) _____

(2) J. D. Evans.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 112, second paragraph rejections and minor typographical errors.

Claims discussed:

12-22

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant was advised that the instant claims included some minor errors and possible bases for rejection, and suggested that possible corrections therefore. Applicant agreed and authorized an examiner's amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)